

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/086,410	10/086,410 11/20/2001		Paul D. Rietze	42390P11636	7458
8791	7590	07/14/2004		EXAM	IINER
		OFF TAYLOR	BUI, H	BUI, HUNG S	
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			2841	

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/086,410	RIETZE ET AL.					
, and one of the second	Examin r	Art Unit					
	Hung S Bui	2841					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars n the cover sheet with the c rrespondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 21 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment which I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper reply to a n places the application in					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: applicant newly added blade structure necessitates further search and/or consideration.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment					
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
5. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 16-25.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	:					
10 D Other							
	,	TULSIDAS PATEL PRIMARY EXAMINER					